IMPLEMENTING CDISC STANDARDS IN IMAGING CLINICAL TRIALS CHALLENGES & LESSONS LEARNED



CDISC CLINICAL DATA INTERCHANGE STANDARDS CONSORTIUM

- Non-profit, vendor neutral, open standards development organization
- Develops and supports global data standards to improve medical research
- Established multiple foundational standards used throughout trial lifecycle



SDM-XML / ODM-XLM / DEFINE-XML

CDASH – Clinical Data Acquisition Standards Harmonization

SDTM – Study Data Tabulation Model

SEND – Standard For Exchange Of Nonclinical Data

ADaM – Analysis Data Model

TAUG - Therapeutic Area User Guides

CT – Controlled Terminology



SDTM AND CT

STUDY DATA TABULATION MODEL

- Standardizes Study Data organization, formatting, and interchange
 - First proposed in 1999
 - Required per FDA Data Standards Catalogue effective December 2016

CONTROLLED TERMINOLOGY

- Standardizes terminology used at all levels of clinical trials (e.g. units, response assessments, criteria, body systems)
- Harmonizes across existing dictionaries
 - NCI EVS (provides starting definitions)
 - SNOMED CT, MedDRA, WHODD
 - Updates quaterly to keep pace with other standards updates



PAREXEL INFORMATICS MIPTS AND CDISC SDTM

SDTM AND CT IN INDEPENDENT IMAGING REVIEW

- CRF data capture design with CT
- Identification of required data at startup (DB creation), rather than when defining data transfer specifications
- Improved communication between stakeholders with common terminology

Evaluator Identifier: RSEVALID		□ Radiologist 1 □ Radiologist 2	
Overall Response: RSTEST=Overall Response RSTESTCD=OVRLRESP OVRLRESP	RSORRES RSSTRESC (stored value use RSSTRESC CT)	☐ Complete Response (CR) ☐ Partial Response (PR) ☐ Stable Disease (SD) ☐ Progressive Disease (PD) ☐ Not Evaluable (NE)	
Date of Procedure for Overall Response (c) (DD-MMM-YYYY) RSDTC OVE	/		
Target Response: RSTEST=Target Response RSTESTCD=TRGRESP TRGRESP	RSORRES RSSTRESC (stored value use RSSTRESC CT)	□ Complete Response (CR) □ Partial Response (PR) □ Stable Disease (SD) □ Progressive Disease (PD) □ Not Evaluable (NE) □ Not All Evaluated	

SDTM AND CT IN TRANSFER OF REVIEW RESULTS

- Transferring data in predefined SDTM datasets and expectations
- 'Intuitive' understanding of the data parameters and represented assessments
- Aligning terms with appropriate CT version
- Standardizing libraries, structures, and validation across trials

PAREXEL IMAGING - SDTM EXPERIENCE

- Oncology related data (domains)
 - Solid Tumor Imaging (TU/TR/RS; RECIST 1.1)
 - Physical Exam/Clinical data (PE/MO/LB/CE; NHL-IWG)
- Other Indications
 - MSK (e.g. RA, OA, AS, SpA, soon in the MK domain)
 - Ophthalmology (soon in the OA domain)
- Specialized/Custom data (domains)
 - Nuclear Medicine (e.g. PC, soon in the TU/TR/RS domains)
 - Immune Response Criteria (RECIST/irRECIST)
- Extensive Experience with Special Purpose Domains
 - Comments Domain (CO), Data Relationships, Supplemental Qualifiers



SDTM AND CT EXAMPLES

RS Domain: Disease Response

A	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M
1	STUDYID	DOMAIN	USUBJID	RSTESTCD	RSTEST	RSCAT	RSORRES	RSNAM	RSEVAL	RSEVALID	VISITNUM	VISIT	RSDTC
2	ABC12345	RS	40912	TRGRESP	Target Response	RECIST 1.0	SD		INVESTIGATOR		3	Cycle 2 Week 4	2007-02-25
3	ABC12345	RS	40912	NTRGRESP	Non-target Response	RECIST 1.0	SD		INVESTIGATOR		3	Cycle 2 Week 4	2007-02-25
4	ABC12345	RS	40912	OVRLRESP	Overall Response	RECIST 1.0	SD		INVESTIGATOR		3	Cycle 2 Week 4	2007-02-25
5	ABC12345	RS	40912	TRGRESP	Target Response	RECIST 1.0	SD	ACME VENDOR	INDEPENDENT ASSESSOR	RADIOLOGIST	3	Cycle 2 Week 4	2007-02-25
6	ABC12345	RS	40912	NTRGRESP	Non-target Response	RECIST 1.0	SD	ACME VENDOR	INDEPENDENT ASSESSOR	RADIOLOGIST	3	Cycle 2 Week 4	2007-02-25
7	ABC12345	RS	40912	OVRLRESP	Overall Response	RECIST 1.0	SD	ACME VENDOR	INDEPENDENT ASSESSOR	RADIOLOGIST	3	Cycle 2 Week 4	2007-02-25
8	ABC12345	RS	40912	TRGRESP	Target Response	RECIST 1.0	SD		INVESTIGATOR		5	Cycle 4 Week 4	2007-04-22
-		RS	40912	NTRGRESP	Non-target Response	RECIST 1.0	SD		INVESTIGATOR		5	Cycle 4 Week 4	2007-04-22
10	ABC12345	RS	40912	NEWLPROG	New Lesion Progression	RECIST 1.0	PD		INVESTIGATOR		5	Cycle 4 Week 4	2007-04-22

Controlled Terminology: Resonse Assessment Category

4	Α	В	С	D
1	Codelist Name	CDISC Submission Value	CDISC Definition	NCI Preferred Term
	Category of Oncology	CHESON CLL 2006	CLL response criteria. (Cheson BD. CLL response criteria. Clin Adv Hematol Oncol.	Cheson CLL 2006 Oncology Response Criteria
2	Response Assessment		2006 May;4(5):4-5; discussion 10; suppl 12.)	
	Category of Oncology	CHESON CLL 2012	Novel targeted agents and the need to refine clinical end points in chronic	Cheson CLL 2012 Oncology Response Criteria
3	Response Assessment		lymphocytic leukemia. (Cheson BD, Byrd JC, Rai KR, Kay NE, O'Brien SM, Flinn	
	Category of Oncology	CHESON MALIGNANT	Revised response criteria for malignant lymphoma. (Cheson BD, Pfistner B, Juweid	Cheson Malignant Lymphoma 2007 Oncology
4	Response Assessment	LYMPHOMA 2007	ME, Gascoyne RD, Specht L, Horning SJ, Coiffier B, Fisher RI, Hagenbeek A,	Response Criteria
	Category of Oncology	IWC HALLEK CLL 2008	Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: a	IWC Hallek CLL 2008 Oncology Response Criteria
5	Response Assessment		report from the International Workshop on Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia updating	
	Category of Oncology	LUGANO	Recommendations for Initial Evaluation, Staging, and Response Assessment of	Lugano Classification Oncology Response Criteria
6	Response Assessment	CLASSIFICATION	Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma: The Lugano Classification. (Cheson BD,	
	Category of Oncology	RANO	Updated Response Assessment Criteria for High-Grade Gliomas: Response	Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology Criteria
7	Response Assessment		Assessment in Neuro-Oncology Working Group. (Wen PY, Macdonald DR,	
	Category of Oncology	RECIST 1.0	Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.0 (Therasse P,	Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors
8	Response Assessment		Arbuck SG, Eisenhauer EA, Wanders J, Kaplan RS, Rubenstein L, Verweij J, Van	Version 1.0
	Category of Oncology			Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors
9	Response Assessment		Eisenhauer, P. Therasse, J. Bogaerts, L.H. Schwartz, D. Sargent, R. Ford, J.	Version 1.1



ADVANTAGES OF CDISC IMPLEMENTATION

- Communication and Transparency
 - Better communication between operational, medical, and technical team members
 - Common language on multi-institution teams across therapeutic areas and indications
 - Consistent data validation rules independent of sponsor, indication, or trial history
- Trial Component Reuse
 - Development of internal documentations templates to ease start-up
 - Centralized standards being updated synchronously
 - Knowledge and 'lessons learned' apply beyond the scope of a single trial
- Robust data relationships throughout trials



REQUIREMENTS FOR NEW SDTM IMPLEMENTATION

- Proactive close contact with CDISC teams for detailed guidance
- Processes required for sharing interpretations and 'lessons learned'
 - Distributing information regarding pending updates and incoming terms
 - Creating and maintaining central documentation templates/libraries
- Mapping various legacy systems to SDTM
- Experience with extensive implementation alternatives
 - Selection of appropriate domains for crossover data
 - Record and domain relationships
 - Structuring of non-standard values



CHALLENGES OF SDTM IMPLEMENTATION

- <u>Variation</u> in implementation
- Need to compensate for Legacy Data Structures
- Differing interpretations of new and evolving standards
- Working with older (or newer) standards versions
 - Extensive and ongoing training required
- Study data not yet covered by CDISC
 - Volunteering in CDISC workgroups for new Therapeutic Areas
 - Reviewing and requesting new Terminology
 - Defining robust Custom Domains in the interim
- Representation of complex review designs and required non-conformity



CHALLENGES OF SDTM IMPLEMENTATION II

- Difficult to obtain information and guidance
 - Problematic access and navigation of various sites and accounts
- Limited channels of communication
 - Volunteer groups
 - Public and Member Reviews of Draft Standard Versions
- User Groups
 - Non-specialized, location based
 - Few common structures or interplay
 - Little to no support from CDISC



PINTAD SUBGROUP FOR IMAGING SPECIFIC CDISC STANDARDS IMPLIMENTATION

- Organized by PAREXEL Informatics
- Mailing list for CDISC Implementation Questions and Answers
 - Initally limited to SDTM until colleagues of broader expertise join the group
- Regular teleconferences for updates and discussions
- Collection of feedback and questions for CDISC and Agencies
- Can be migrated to an offical CDISC imaging-specifc user group once fully established

Interested?

Daniel.Clark@PAREXEL.com



THANK YOU

